

NOVEMBER 10, 2021

Discussion:

How market ecology, leverage and network dynamics explain market malfunction

Jim MacGee

THE VIEWS EXPRESSED IN THIS DISCUSSION ARE THOSE OF THE AUTHOR AND DO NOT NECESSARILY REFLECT THE POSITION OF THE BANK OF CANADA.



Interesting and thought provoking

- Broad and thoughtful presentation that spans several papers
- Several insights resonate
 - Allocation of funds across trading strategies may impact market dynamics
 - Allocation of funds across “strategies” can be gradual
 - Risk management (regulation or internal practice) that sets leverage targets can see “assets sales” that depress prices below fundamentals
 - Stress tests may miss amplifying factors
- Agent Based simulation models can be part of research (policy) toolkit
 - Computationally tractable compared to forward looking decision based models

Comments

- “Efficient markets” seems to be a bit of a “straw person”
 - Does market ecology narrative deliver 1987, 2010 flash crash
 - Can we use ABM to help identify key “frictions”?
- Is “nature” a good way of thinking of “social systems”
 - People are forward looking in way that “nature” is not
 - Important for policy since decision rules can change
 - Ecology view may limit how we anticipate implications of changes in environment (e.g., cheaper computers) for behaviour (financial innovation) and where risk shifts.
- Agent based **decision** models needed to identify limits of ABM

Ecology as a model for market malfunction

- “Ecology” approach with 3 exogenous trading strategies
 - Selection pressures (profits) drive shifts in wealth across strategies
- Intuitive and tractable model that can deliver
 - Slow convergence over time towards “fundamental” price
 - Volatility of market prices
- Question: if mispricing is predictable, what stops entry of new strategy(ies)?
 - Cost of developing/operating new strategy large relative to profits?
 - Is price a sufficient statistic for “optimal” strategy?
 - Or should “optimal” strategy condition of wealth of other players?
- ABM seems like it could help us identify plausible answers
 - Calibrate to economy “as is” and then estimate “profit” of entrant

Is “Nature” a good analogy for “Social”?

- Market ecology offers interesting insights into selection & dynamics
 - Mathematical tools that economics should shamelessly borrow
- But is it a good analytical framework for social science?
- Key difference between “natural” systems and social systems
 - People are (at least partially) forward looking
 - Example: Climate change vs frog in water being gradually heated
- Many of the big questions for policy makers revolve around
 - How will agents respond when we change our policies
 - What will changing environmental forces imply for future risks
- Here we need range of model laboratories – not just “as it is” today

“...economics can be done without assuming equilibrium”

- In one sense, this is neither new nor controversial
 - Long tradition of various forms of partial equilibrium or agent decision model
 - A number of “equilibrium concepts”
- The author means something different
 - Economics without **decision problems**
- I think this is potentially a misleading approach for Economics
 - ABM can be useful tool – and should be part of our toolkit
 - But identifying limitations of ABM requires recognizing that people make choices
 - Market ecology example highlights importance of understanding general equilibrium
 - Narrative around “portfolio insurance” and 1987 crash – every buyer needs a seller

Conclusion

- Interesting and thought provoking research agenda
 - Work is worth reading and reflecting upon
- ABM/market ecology approach can offer interesting insights
- Complementary research agenda/tool
- Not a substitute for decision based models