# P. Benigno, L. Schilling, H. Uhlig "Cryptocurrencies, Currency Competition, and the Impossible Trinity"

#### Dirk Niepelt

Study Center Gerzensee; U of Bern; CEPR; CESifo November 2020

# Caught In a Web of Euler Equations

A nice paper worth reading—plain economic theory

My five points

- Complete markets assumption can be relaxed
- Interpretation
- Implicit assumptions matter
- Liquidity assumption is key
- Other webs to watch out for

# Complete Markets Assumption Can Be Relaxed

#### Authors assume

- Identical nominal sdfs,  $\mathcal{M}_{t+1} = \mathcal{M}_{t+1}^{\star} S_t / S_{t+1}$
- Law of one price for gc,  $Q_t = S_t Q_t^*$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{t+1} \frac{Q_{t+1}}{Q_t} = \mathcal{M}_{t+1}^{\star} \frac{Q_{t+1}^{\star}}{Q_t^{\star}}$$
 in all states

#### Less is needed

Both countries hold asset with return characteristics of gc

(A1) 
$$\mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1} \frac{Q_{t+1}}{Q_{t}} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1}^{\star} \frac{Q_{t+1}^{\star}}{Q_{t}^{\star}} \right]$$

#### Basic argument

1. Home and foreign hold gc

$$1 = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1} \frac{Q_{t+1}}{Q_t} \right] + L_t \text{ and } 1 = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1}^{\star} \frac{Q_{t+1}^{\star}}{Q_t^{\star}} \right] + L_t^{\star}$$

2. Home and foreign hold domestic monies

$$1 = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1} \right] + L_t \text{ and } 1 = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1}^{\star} \right] + L_t^{\star}$$

3. Home and foreign hold domestic bonds

$$1 = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1} \right] I_{t+1}$$
 and  $1 = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1}^{\star} \right] I_{t+1}^{\star}$ 

# Interpretation

What does the result say?

- If (A1) & both countries hold gc & domestic monies circulate & domestic bonds are held ...
- ... then also  $I_{t+1} = I_{t+1}^{\star}$

Is monetary policy constrained? No and yes

- Policy rates equal zero by assumption They "constrain" bond rates,  $I_{t+1} = I_{t+1}^{\star}$
- But  $I_{t+1} \neq I_{t+1}^{\star}$  affects money demand

# **Implicit Assumptions Matter**

#### Conclusions change if

• Domestic monies pay interest. Then (steps 2. & 3.)

$$1 = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1} \right] I_{t+1}^{\$} + L_{t} \text{ and } 1 = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1}^{*} \right] I_{t+1}^{\$*} + L_{t}^{*}$$

$$1 = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1} \right] I_{t+1}^{\$} I_{t+1} / I_{t+1}^{\$} \text{ and } 1 = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1}^{*} \right] I_{t+1}^{\$*} I_{t+1}^{*} / I_{t+1}^{\$*}$$

Opportunity costs of holding money are equal

- Returns are differentially taxed. Ditto
- Interest rates are stochastic (e.g., long-term debt). Then

$$1 = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1} I_{t+1} \right] \text{ and } 1 = \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1}^{\star} I_{t+1}^{\star} \right]$$

Opportunity costs of holding money are linked

# **Liquidity Assumption Is Key**

Recall basic argument (steps 1. & 2.)

Home and foreign hold gc and domestic monies

$$1 = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1} \frac{Q_{t+1}}{Q_{t}} \right] + L_{t} \text{ and } 1 = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1}^{\star} \frac{Q_{t+1}^{\star}}{Q_{t}^{\star}} \right] + L_{t}^{\star}$$
$$1 = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1} \right] + L_{t} \text{ and } 1 = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1}^{\star} \right] + L_{t}^{\star}$$

But " $L_t \neq L_t$ " and " $L_t^* \neq L_t^*$  when liquidity services are less than perfect substitutes globally

- Portfolio balance effect, interior equilibrium and  $I_{t+1} \neq I_{t+1}^{\star}$
- See Irizawa (2020)? Online appendix

#### Other Webs To Watch Out For

With linearity, over determinacy is rampant. Examples

- Two households hold money and bonds
   Their income tax rates differ
  - Problem?
- Banks are long/short in reserves, cash, deposits
  - Households hold deposits, cash
  - Households globally indifferent between reserves and cash?
  - (If so, don't worry about CBDC)

# Caught In a Web of Euler Equations

A nice paper worth reading—plain economic theory

My five points

- Complete markets assumption can be relaxed
- Interpretation
- Implicit assumptions matter
- Liquidity assumption is key
- Other webs to watch out for