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Abstract
Until recently, few efforts have been made to systematically measure and aggregate the nominal value of the different types of sovereign government debt in default. To help fill this gap, the Bank of Canada (BoC) developed a comprehensive database of sovereign defaults that is posted on its website and updated in partnership with the Bank of England (BoE). Our database draws on previously published datasets compiled by various public and private sector sources. It combines elements of these, together with new information, to develop comprehensive estimates of stocks of government obligations in default. These include bonds and other marketable securities, bank loans and official loans, valued in US dollars, for the years 1960 to 2019 on both a country-by-country and a global basis. This update of the BoC–BoE database, and future updates, will be useful to researchers analyzing the economic and financial effects of individual sovereign defaults and, importantly, the impact on global financial stability of episodes involving multiple sovereign defaults.
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Résumé
Jusqu’à tout récemment, peu d’efforts étaient consacrés à l’évaluation systématique des différents types de défauts souverains ainsi qu’au calcul de la valeur nominale globale des engagements qu’ils représentent. Afin de remédier à cette lacune, la Banque du Canada a développé une base de données exhaustive de défauts souverains, qui se trouve sur son site Web et qui est mise à jour en partenariat avec la Banque d’Angleterre. Cette base repose sur des ensembles de données compilées et préalablement publiées par diverses sources, publiques et privées. Elle permet de produire, à partir de données tirées de ces sources et de nouvelles informations, des estimations complètes du montant des prêts bancaires, des obligations et autres titres négociables, de même que des prêts officiels en situation de défaut, tous exprimés en dollars américains, pour la période allant de 1960 à 2019. Cette information est présentée à la fois pays par pays, et de manière agrégée, c’est-à-dire à l’échelle mondiale. La base de données actualisée de la Banque du Canada et de la Banque d’Angleterre, et ses mises à jour ultérieures, sera utile aux chercheurs souhaitant analyser les effets économiques et financiers de la défaillance d’emprunteurs souverains spécifiques, ainsi que – dimension importante – l’incidence sur la stabilité financière mondiale de multiples défauts souverains.

Sujets : Gestion de la dette; Économie du développement; Stabilité financière; Marchés financiers internationaux

Codes JEL : F34, G10, G14, G15
Non-technical summary
Responding to the fragmented public information available on sovereign defaults, the Bank of Canada launched a unique database in 2014, which is now updated annually in partnership with the Bank of England. The database provides estimates of government debt in default, including obligations owed to official and private creditors, valued in US dollars for the 1960–2019 period, by country and debt type and aggregated globally. This dataset and related commentary on historical trends give researchers a more comprehensive picture than was previously available to support their analysis of the economic and financial effects of individual defaults and, importantly, of the impact on global financial stability of defaults by multiple sovereigns.

What we do
As there is no internationally agreed-upon definition of the characteristics of sovereign defaults, we outline our criteria for determining when a default occurs. We next describe the main components of the database and how they are compiled for the main creditor categories—the International Monetary Fund, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the International Development Association, the Paris Club, China, other official creditors, foreign currency bank loans, foreign currency bonds, other foreign currency private creditor loans and local currency debt.

We note that due to challenges in documenting when sovereigns default and the amounts of debt involved, some of our country data is provisional. We explain how we develop these estimates, which we may revise in future database updates as new information becomes available. An appendix provides country-by-country information on our data sources.
1. Introduction

Government debt defaults are a recurring feature of public finance. These defaults typically involve low-income and emerging-market economies, although recent cases include advanced-economy sovereigns. As a result, there is a prolific literature analyzing various aspects of sovereign debt crises—notably the political and economic factors that drive defaults, their domestic economic and financial effects and the global impact of episodes where multiple defaults are involved.

Even so, comprehensive data on sovereign defaults have been hard to come by. This reflects a number of factors. An important reason is that there is no single internationally recognized definition of what constitutes a sovereign default. As a result, standards used by government borrowers and their creditors to report defaults, if they report at all, differ, and information on the various types of defaulted debt must be mined from different sources. Launched in 2014, the Bank of Canada (BoC)–Bank of England (BoE) sovereign default database helps fill these gaps through the compilation of a comprehensive country-by-country and global dataset of government debt in default that applies a common standard for determining when defaults occur.

This paper is organized as follows. We start by proposing a definition of when a sovereign default has occurred that encompasses loans from both official and private creditors (Section 2). We next describe the main components of the BoC–BoE database (Section 3). We highlight how we compile the data and, where applicable, the methods employed to develop estimates (Section 4). We also score the reliability of default data for each country (Section 5). The final section offers some conclusions (Section 6). The paper also includes a list of references, and an appendix provides a listing of our data sources for the country-by-country and aggregate data.

2. Determining sovereign defaults

Sovereign debt is the term commonly used to denote debt issued by national governments and certain fiscally autonomous territories. Like other types of debt, sovereign debt is a contractual obligation. A failure to meet this contractual obligation to pay interest or principal in full on the due date provides one clear-cut example of a sovereign default. Another example is a failure by a government to honour debt it has lawfully guaranteed where there are clear provisions for the guarantor to make timely payment. That said, because government responses to financial distress can take many forms, sovereign defaults are often not so explicit. In some cases, we can conclude that, even without an actual interruption of

---

1 For the latest commentary on the database and the authors’ findings, see the companion paper, “BoC–BoE Sovereign Default Database: What’s New in 2020?” available on our web page

2 For a comprehensive overview, see Tomz and Wright (2012).
debt service, a default has effectively occurred because actions by the sovereign result in economic losses by creditors. Such losses can vary widely.

Consistent with much of the literature on sovereign defaults (Cruces and Trebesch 2011), and the practice of credit-rating agencies (Beers and Chambers 2006; Tudela et al. 2011), we consider that a default has occurred when debt service is not paid on the due date or within a specified grace period, when payments are not made within the time frame specified under a guarantee or, absent an outright payment default, in any of the following circumstances where creditors incur material economic losses on the sovereign debt they hold:

- agreements between governments and creditors that reduce interest rates and/or extend maturities on outstanding debt
- government exchange offers to creditors where existing debt is swapped for new debt on less economic terms
- government purchases of debt at substantial discounts to par
- government redenomination of foreign currency debt into new local currency obligations on less economic terms
- swaps of sovereign debt for equity (usually relating to privatization programs) on less economic terms
- retrospective taxes targeting sovereign debt service payments
- conversion of central bank notes into new currency of less-than-equivalent face value

3. Features of the BoC–BoE sovereign default database

The BoC–BoE sovereign database—posted here—tabulates data on debt owed to official and private creditors for all sovereign defaults that we have identified between the years 1960 and 2019. For each year, we compile the data by type of creditor on both a country-by-country and an aggregated basis to show global totals. All country and global data on debt in default are expressed in nominal US dollars. Sovereigns in default at any point during the year, together with the amounts of debt affected, are shown in the annual totals. Anticipating future updates, the database also shows the date of the most recent revision.

---

3 Sovereign ratings assigned by credit-rating agencies typically assess the likelihood of timely payment of government and central bank bills, notes, bonds and bank loans, not the likelihood of timely payment of loans contracted from the International Monetary Fund, the multilateral lending institutions and other official creditors.

4 The BoC–BoE database is distinct from and complements the datasets measuring the nominal value of sovereign debt restructuring agreements and creditor losses involving private creditors and Paris Club official creditors published by Cruces and Trebesch (2011) and Das, Papaioannou and Trebesch (2012), respectively.
Within the country and global totals, debt in one or more of the following creditor subcategories is included:

- International Monetary Fund (IMF)
- International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD)
- International Development Association (IDA)
- Paris Club
- China
- other official creditors
- foreign currency bank loans
- foreign currency bonds
- other private creditors
- local currency debt

In addition to the country-by-country components, in most cases the database contains the following aggregate data for the period starting in 1960 and ending in 2019:

- total debt in default (in nominal US dollars)
- total debt in default by creditor type (in nominal US dollars)
- total debt in default by debtor type (in nominal US dollars)
- number of sovereign governments
- number of sovereign governments in default
- outstanding Paris Club debt (in nominal US dollars)
- global general government or public debt (in nominal US dollars)
- global emerging market and developing country gross domestic product (GDP) (in nominal US dollars)
- global GDP (in nominal US dollars)

4. Data sources and data estimation

To construct the BoC–BoE database, we utilize data published by the Asian Development Bank (2020 and earlier years); the IMF (2020 and earlier years); the Paris Club (2020a, 2020b); the World DataBank (World Bank Group 2020); the IBRD’s annual financial statements (2020 and earlier years); IDA’s annual financial statements (2020 and earlier years); Tweedie, Hagan and Tiwari (2012); Das, Papaioannou and Trebesch (2012); Cruces and Trebesch (2011); Tudela et al. (2011); Beers and Chambers (2006); and Suter (1992). We combine elements of these datasets, together with information from national governments and other sources, to develop
our estimates of stocks of bank loans, bonds and other marketable securities, other private creditor claims, and IMF, IBRD, IDA, Paris Club, China and other official loans in default for the years 1960 through 2019.

It is important to highlight that some of our data is, in fact, estimated. As Cruces and Trebesch (2011) and others have noted, documenting which sovereigns have defaulted, the time frame of such defaults and the amounts of debt affected can be challenging. This is particularly true for local currency defaults, which often are not acknowledged as such by the governments involved and which have been little studied in the literature. Even in better-documented cases where defaults are resolved through a formal debt-restructuring process, different sources can, at times, provide contradictory information.

Consequently, while we have relied on sources we consider credible, our database of sovereign defaults may not be exhaustive. We may have overlooked some defaults, and we may ultimately revise our estimates of the US-dollar amounts of debt in default. As we gain additional information on defaults, we will include the data in future database updates. Any errors in the identification and estimation processes employed are, of course, the sole responsibility of the authors.

Below we outline how we estimate values of different types of defaulted debt.

**IMF lending.** This category refers to IMF loans to member governments and obligations to pay IMF membership quotas. The IMF does not report late payments as defaults because it is a preferred creditor—meaning that generally it is paid ahead of other types of creditors and, when payments are late, expects ultimately to be repaid. Even so, there are cases (in the 1960s) where IMF loans were reprofiled in tandem with restructurings of other debts owed by sovereigns and (throughout the 1960–2019 period) where payment arrears have persisted for extended periods. In addition, the IMF has written off some loans to countries receiving official debt relief under the Multilateral Debt Relief Initiative (MDRI). Our sources on payment arrears and reschedulings are IMF annual reports, Article IV reports on member countries, use of IMF credit as reported in the IMF’s *International Financial Statistics*, and reports by the IMF on cases of “protracted arrears.” Utilizing these data and information on loan charges, we compute cumulative interest arrears and charges and apply them to the principal amount of loans and overdue quota amounts reported as being in arrears for at least six months.5 Since IMF lending is denominated in special drawing rights, we use applicable end-of-period exchange rates to convert amounts of estimated defaulted loans into US dollars. Because MDRI-related loan write-offs are funded by donor governments and do not impair the IMF’s balance sheet, they form one component of the other official creditor data category discussed below.

---

5 We also include, given their size, short-lived arrears to the IMF by Romania, Argentina and Greece of US$1 billion in 1986, US$2.9 billion in 2003 and US$2.2 billion in 2015, respectively.
**MLI lending.** This category refers to loans by multilateral lending institutions (MLIs) to member governments. Many MLIs—all owned or controlled by groups of governments—have preferred creditor status but, like the IMF, have periodically experienced late payments on their loans. Reporting practices on such loans vary, although IBRD and IDA publish reasonably comprehensive data on arrears of principal and interest when they persist for six months or more. We publish separate IBRD and IDA country data on their loans in arrears for the years 1985–2019. In the global totals, we also show the value of IBRD and IDA loans in arrears for the years 1980–84, a period when they did not identify the relevant individual countries. Our sources are the IBRD’s and IDA’s annual financial statements. Apart from the IBRD and IDA data just noted, MLI lending, including all MDRI-related write-offs, is one component of our proxy for other official debt in default described below.

**Paris Club lending.** This category refers to loans extended by the Paris Club, an informal group of 22 bilateral official lenders, to other governments. Das, Papaioannou and Trebesch (2012) have published comprehensive data on sovereign debt restructurings involving the Paris Club for the years 1956–2010. These and more recent data are also available directly from the Paris Club’s (2020a) website and generally show the year and the amounts of each restructuring. In some cases, the Paris Club separately identifies amounts of restructured loans and interest arrears. However, the data do not include the annual amounts of unpaid loans and accrued interest for the entire default period, although there are some cases (e.g., Sudan) where we also utilize IMF data on Paris Club loan arrears.

Despite these limitations, we publish data on Paris Club loans in default separately from the data on other official creditors. Where a default has occurred but we have insufficient information about the amount of debt involved, we show asterisks rather than values for the applicable year, and we record the default in the annual global total number of defaults. For some long-running defaults not yet resolved, we show IMF estimates where available or Paris Club country data for total loans, published annually for 2008 and subsequent years, as a proxy for the actual amounts involved. Our proxy values based on Paris Club data have two drawbacks: on the one hand, they may include bilateral loans to debtors that are still performing; on the other, they exclude interest arrears on non-performing loans and so underestimate the totals.

**China.** This category refers to bilateral official loans by China, including government-guaranteed loans from two of its development banks: the Export-Import Bank of China and China Development Bank. Like a number of other bilateral official creditors, China’s lending agencies do not publish comprehensive data on debt in arrears, or debts that have been restructured or written down. Instead, our data draw primarily from the following:

- instances where China has provided debt relief, compiled by Hurley, Morris and Portelance (2018) and Kratz, Feng and Wright (2019)
- analysis of China’s official lending, by Horn, Reinhart and Trebesch (2019)
- reports by borrowers, the IMF and the media since 2000
Nonetheless, the data are incomplete and so understate the annual totals since they do not include loans when they first become non-performing. In principle, many of them could be included indistinguishably in the other official creditor loans category discussed next.

**Other official creditors.** This category covers loan arrears and debt write-downs by governments owed to the MLIs and bilateral official creditors, including national export credit and development agencies, not shown separately. In most cases, our source for the country-by-country data is the World DataBank, which reports cumulative annual amounts of unpaid interest and principal, as well as restructured debt and write-offs of interest and principal, in the years they occurred.\(^6\) With a few exceptions (noted in the Appendix), we use this data as our proxy for annual amounts of other official debt in default, on a country-by-country and aggregate basis, from 1970 onwards. For 1960–69, our data are drawn mainly from IMF Staff Reports, Bittermann (1973) and Bornschier, Pfister and Suter (1986). Where a default has occurred but we have insufficient information to estimate the amount of debt involved, we show asterisks rather than values for the applicable year, and we record the default in the annual global total number of defaults.

There are three main drawbacks with our approach to compiling the data. First, since the World DataBank country data are not available for 1960–69, we gather data for this period from the IMF and other sources, a process that may underestimate the number of governments and US-dollar values involved. Second, the country totals for 1970–2019 also underestimate the annual value of official debt in default because they do not take account of the total loan amounts outstanding when payment defaults take place. Third, as highlighted by Cruces and Trebesch (2011), there may be errors and omissions in some of the country data the World DataBank reports, which are based on information compiled by debtor governments.\(^7\) Despite these shortcomings, we believe that our use of alternate sources makes the 1960–69 data nearly as comprehensive as the data for 1970–2019, and that for this latter period in most instances our proxy provides a reasonable approximation of the amounts of debt in default.

To calculate annual country-by-country values for this category and to minimize double-counting, where relevant we make the following adjustments:

- From the official creditor totals compiled by the World DataBank, we deduct IBRD and IDA loans in arrears in each year they are reported.
- We deduct Paris Club debt in default from the residual official creditor values in years when the latter are larger. We make this adjustment because the Paris Club reports its

---

\(^6\) The World DataBank data on official creditors’ arrears exclude arrears on IMF lending. For sovereigns where we show loans in default from the IBRD, IDA, the Paris Club and/or China, we show residual World DataBank data values after deducting these amounts.

\(^7\) Cruces and Trebesch (2011) cite instances where data on debt restructurings from this source, which come from central banks and other national sources, are not consistent with data from other credible sources.
data in the year it reaches agreement with the debtor government, while the World DataBank records restructured official debt and debt write-offs in the years they occur.

- We deduct bilateral loans restructured, written down or forgiven by China in each year they are separately reported from the residual creditor values.
- Where, as a result of these steps, the residual value is negative, we show asterisks in the applicable year.

Our treatment of Liberia’s defaulted official loans in 2008 illustrates how we make these adjustments. To start, for this category the World DataBank reports cumulative arrears of principal and interest arrears, plus write-offs of principal and interest during the year, as US$1,233 million. From this total, we subtract IBRD arrears of US$179 million reported separately, and Paris Club restructurings of principal and interest of US$1,043 million reported separately. We record the residual, US$11 million, in the other official creditor category of the database.

**Private creditors.** This category refers to foreign currency–denominated lending to governments by foreign commercial creditors, including bondholders, banks and suppliers. For 1960–69, our principal sources are IMF Staff Reports and Bittermann (1973). For the 1970–2019 period, our main source for the country-by-country data is the World DataBank, which reports cumulative annual amounts of unpaid interest and principal for this category of creditors, as well as write-offs and restructurings. This dataset has the same drawbacks as the official creditor data taken from the same source. In addition, it does not always appear to properly differentiate public and publicly guaranteed borrowers from private sector borrowers. We utilize these data in cases where we do not have separate data on bank loans and foreign currency bonds, when the reported private creditor amounts are larger than the data on bank loans and bonds, and when we have sufficient information from other sources that shows arrears by private sector borrowers in the country are a small share of the total. To minimize double-counting, we subtract the annual bank loans and bond amounts from the annual private creditor values. Where a default has occurred but we have insufficient information to estimate the amount of debt involved, we show asterisks rather than values for the applicable year, and we record the default in the annual global total number of defaults.

**Foreign currency bank loans.** This category refers to foreign currency–denominated bilateral and syndicated loans to governments by commercial banks. For bank loan defaults resolved through a formal restructuring process and involving interest arrears, the amounts of debt restructured (or subject to debt buybacks) reported by Cruces and Trebesch (2011) and others generally serve as our starting point. Utilizing available information on the original terms of the loans, which typically include a variable rate of interest—often the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR)—plus a spread, we compute cumulative interest arrears for the years prior to the resolution of the default and add them to the loan amounts outstanding for each year we determine the default values. In cases where a payment default did not precede a bank debt restructuring, we include the debt amount in the year(s) in which
the workout process occurred. Where bank loan defaults remain unresolved, we develop our annual estimates of default amounts from information on the original loans reported by Tellimer (2019) and others; we may revise these data based on updated information as and when the debt is formally restructured. When defaulted obligations are denominated in another currency, we use applicable end-of-period exchange rates to convert amounts into US dollars. Where a default has occurred but we have insufficient information to estimate the amount of debt involved, we show asterisks rather than values for the applicable year, and we record the default in the annual global total number of defaults.

**Foreign currency bonds.** This category refers to foreign currency-denominated bonds and other marketable securities issued by governments. Where bond interest is due but unpaid, we estimate cumulative interest arrears for the years from the start to the end of the bond default based on reported bond coupons. We add these amounts to the outstanding face value of the bond for each year of default. In cases where no payment default has occurred but old bonds are subject to an exchange proposed by the government for new bonds, which results in creditor losses, we view the face value of eligible bonds to be in default from the point when a government announces an exchange to when it is completed. We view a resumption of normal debt service on existing bonds or, more typically, the completion of a bond exchange as the point at which a bond default has been resolved. This is the case in a bond exchange even when some bondholders—known as holdout creditors—do not tender their bonds.8 Where defaulted bonds are denominated in another currency, we use applicable end-of-period exchange rates to convert amounts into US dollars. In cases where foreign currency bonds are repudiated, i.e., when debt is not recognized by a new government, regime or successor state or states (e.g., Cuba in 1961–62, Yugoslavia in 1992), we record the face value of the repudiated bonds in the years they default but do not include estimates of interest arrears unless and until the bonds are recognized by current or successor governments or regimes.9

**Local currency debt.** This category refers to debt issued by a government in its own currency.10 As already noted, local currency debt defaults are only sporadically reported as such. As a result, our estimates, which we gather from Mas (1995), national sources and IMF country reports, are provisional. The majority of these defaults tend to be resolved quickly. In most cases, we identify the principal amount of the debt involved and, where relevant, estimate interest arrears based on prevailing interest rates on government debt near the time

---

8 Holdouts are not always the only creditors who fail to participate in bond exchanges. Some bonds may be mislaid, forgotten or locked up in estates, and such creditors can surface long after the conclusion of a bond exchange. In some instances, the government may later issue additional debt on the same terms as the bond exchange to settle these claims.

9 As a result, we do not include values for sovereign bond repudiations prior to 1960, e.g., Russia (1918) and China (1949).

10 For sovereigns that are members of monetary unions, we consider debt denominated in the common currency to be foreign currency for purposes of this analysis. Empirically, their default dynamics are similar to defaults on foreign currency–denominated debt by sovereigns outside monetary unions.
of the default. To convert amounts of estimated defaulted debt into US dollars, we use the
market exchange rate—or, where exchange controls are an important consideration, the black
market rate—prevailing at the start of the default. When central banks exchange bank notes
on unfavourable terms, we generally use the amounts outstanding reported in the
IMF’s *International Financial Statistics* closest to the exchange date. Where a default has
occurred but we have insufficient information to estimate the amount of debt involved, we
show asterisks rather than values for the applicable year, and we record the default in the
annual global total number of defaults.

**Summary data.** In this section of the BoC–BoE database, we aggregate the country-by-
country data for sovereign defaults in global totals. The data on the total number of
sovereign issuers are the authors’ estimates. We tabulate data on the number of sovereigns in
default based on the total number of sovereigns reported in default in the database for each
year. The global total nominal US-dollar amounts for Paris Club, other official creditor and
private creditor categories in 2019 are the authors’ estimates. The categories for data on
sovereign defaults by debtor are based on IMF definitions for advanced economies (5
sovereigns in the database) and for heavily indebted poor countries (39), and on the J.P.
Morgan Emerging Market Bond Index (EMBI) Global Diversified Index definitions for
emerging- and frontier-market sovereigns (51). The other developing countries group (52)
includes all other sovereigns in the database. Our roll-up of the country data covering the 147
sovereigns in the database, along with the aggregate value of Paris Club lending, as well as
world public debt, emerging-market and developing country GDP, and world GDP, sourced
from the IMF *World Economic Outlook* (IMF 2020b, 2020c) and the World DataBank (World
Bank Group 2020), provides a global perspective on the scale of annual sovereign defaults
from 1960 onwards.

5. Assessing data reliability
Using a similar approach to that followed by Cruces and Trebesch (2011), we score the
relative data quality of our country-by-country estimates of debt in default. On a scale of 1
(denoting high reliability) to 4 (denoting least reliability), we determine a summary score
based on the average of the subscores assigned to four variables:

- a. years in which default occurred
- b. types of debt in default
- c. characteristics of debt restructured (e.g., interest rate, original maturity)
- d. consistency of information from different sources

11 In the few cases involving confiscatory currency reforms where central bank data is unavailable, we develop
estimates based on the ratio of currency to GDP in countries with comparable GDP per capita. We note these
cases in the Appendix.
Of course, there must be an element of judgment in an exercise that measures data reliability in relative terms. The following example, for Jamaica, helps illustrate the process we follow. We highlight Jamaica because, under our definition, it has been in default on seven debt types—IMF, Paris Club, other official creditors, private creditors, foreign currency bank loans, foreign currency bonds and local currency debt—at various times over the 1960–2019 period.

For Jamaica, since we have a fair degree of confidence that we have identified all cases of default and the years in which they occurred, we assign a score of 3 to variable a. We are relatively more confident that we have identified all the types of debt involved in each case, so we score variable b at 2. We assign a score of 3 to variable c, which addresses our knowledge about the characteristics of the debt restructured, because we are less confident about our estimates of the value of debt restructured in the 1970s and 1980s than about debt restructured more recently. We find that the information from the different sources we consulted is reasonably consistent, but since arrears owed to official creditors come from the World DataBank and are subject to revision, we assign a score of 3 to subcategory d. Finally, we average the results of the subcategories, resulting in an overall score of 3.

6. Conclusion
The BoC–BoE database is useful to researchers analyzing the economic and financial effects of individual sovereign defaults and, importantly, the impact on global financial stability of episodes involving multiple sovereign defaults. Our database draws on previously published datasets compiled by various official and private sector sources. It combines elements of these, together with new information, to develop estimates of stocks of government obligations in default. These include bonds and other marketable securities, bank loans and official loans in default, valued in US dollars, from 1960 onwards on both a country-by-country and a global basis. The database applies a common standard for determining when defaults occur. However, documenting which sovereigns have defaulted, the time frame of such defaults and the amounts of debt affected can be challenging. While we have used sources we consider reliable, our database of sovereign defaults may not be exhaustive. Additional information on defaults, as it becomes available, will be incorporated in future database updates.
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Appendix
Below are the sources we use to compile the BoC–BoE database.

Global Aggregates

1. Afghanistan (data reliability score: 4)

2. Albania (data reliability score: 3)

3. Algeria (data reliability score: 3)

4. Angola (data reliability score: 3)

5. Antigua and Barbuda (data reliability score: 3)

6. Argentina (data reliability score: 3)

7. Armenia (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.
8. Azerbaijan (data reliability score: 4)
Tellimer (2019), World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

9. Bangladesh (data reliability score: 4)
AidData (2017), World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

10. Barbados (data reliability score: 1)

11. Belarus (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

12. Belize (data reliability score: 3)

13. Benin (data reliability score: 4)

14. Bhutan (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

15. Bolivia (data reliability score: 3)

16. Bosnia and Herzegovina (data reliability score: 2)

17. Botswana (data reliability score: 4)
Kratz, Feng and Wright (2019), World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

18. Brazil (data reliability score: 3)

19. Bulgaria (data reliability score: 3)
20. Burkina Faso (data reliability score: 4)

21. Burundi (data reliability score: 4)

22. Cabo Verde (data reliability score: 4)

23. Cambodia (data reliability score: 3)

24. Cameroon (data reliability score: 3)

25. Central African Republic (data reliability score: 4)

26. Chad (data reliability score: 4)
27. Chile (data reliability score: 2)

28. Colombia (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

29. Comoros (data reliability score: 3)

30. The Republic of the Congo (Brazzaville) (data reliability score: 3)

31. Democratic Republic of the Congo (Kinshasa) (data reliability score: 3)

32. Cook Islands (data reliability score: 2)

33. Costa Rica (data reliability score: 3)

34. Côte d’Ivoire (data reliability score: 3)
35. Croatia (data reliability score: 1)

36. Cuba (data reliability score: 4)
Acosta and Frank (2019, AidData (2017), Cruces and Trebesch (2011), Das, Papaioannou and Trebesch (2012), Economist Intelligence Unit (2017), FBPC (1962), Hurley, Morris and Portelance (2018), IMF Article IV Staff Reports (1961), IFS, LeoGrande (2016), Kratz, Feng and Wright (2019), Paris Club (2020a), Rieffel (1985) Tellimer (2019). Note: Cuba’s 1961 local currency default was the result of a confiscatory currency reform. Cuba’s foreign currency bonds defaulted in 1960–61 when they were repudiated by the government. We have revised values for defaulted Paris Club debt in 1986–2014 to reflect interest arrears restructured in the 2015 agreement. We have also revised the value of bank loans in default between 1985 and 2018 to reflect additional information on their terms.

37. Cyprus (data reliability score: 1)

38. Czechoslovakia (data reliability score: 2)

39. Djibouti (data reliability score: 4)

40. Dominica (data reliability score: 3)

41. Dominican Republic (data reliability score: 3)

42. Ecuador (data reliability score: 4)

43. Egypt (data reliability score: 4)

44. El Salvador (data reliability score: 4)
45. Equatorial Guinea (data reliability score: 4)

46. Eritrea (data reliability score: 4)

47. Ethiopia (data reliability score: 4)

48. Fiji (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

49. Gabon (data reliability score: 3)

50. Gambia (data reliability score: 3)

51. Georgia (data reliability score: 4)

52. Ghana (data reliability score: 3)

53. Greece (data reliability score: 1)
54. Grenada (data reliability score: 3)

55. Guatemala (data reliability score: 3)

56. Guinea (data reliability score: 4)

57. Guinea-Bissau (data reliability score: 4)

58. Guyana (data reliability score: 3)

59. Haiti (data reliability score: 4)

60. Honduras (data reliability score: 3)

61. Hungary (data reliability score: 2)
CCBC (1976), FBPC (1976), World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

62. India (data reliability score: 4)

63. Indonesia (data reliability score: 3)
64. Iran (data reliability score: 4)

65. Iraq (data reliability score: 3)

66. Ireland (data reliability score: 1)

67. Jamaica (data reliability score: 3)

68. Jordan (data reliability score: 3)

69. Kazakhstan (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

70. Kenya (data reliability score: 3)

71. Korea (North) (data reliability score: 4)
Alpert (2012), Haggard and Noland (2010), Hwang (2010), Linzmayer (2016), Paris Club (2020a), Tellimer (2019), Yang (2012), Yonhap (2017). Note: North Korea’s local currency defaults in 1992 and 2009 were the result of confiscatory currency reforms. In the absence of central bank data, we utilize Hwang’s assumption that currency in circulation amounted to 2 percent of estimated GDP.

72. Kyrgyz Republic (data reliability score: 4)
73. Laos (data reliability score: 4)
AidData (2017), IFS, Hurley, Morris and Portelance (2018), IMF Staff Reports (1979, 2019, 2020), World DataBank and IMF DataMapper. Note: Laos’s 1976 local currency default was the result of a confiscatory currency reform.

74. Lebanon (data reliability score: 4)

75. Lesotho (data reliability score: 4)

76. Liberia (data reliability score: 3)

77. Libya (data reliability score: 4)

78. North Macedonia (data reliability score: 3)

79. Madagascar (data reliability score: 3)

80. Malawi (data reliability score: 3)

81. Maldives (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

82. Mali (data reliability score: 3)

83. Mauritania (data reliability score: 3)
84. Mauritius (data reliability score: 4)

85. Mexico (data reliability score: 3)

86. Moldova (data reliability score: 3)

87. Mongolia (data reliability score: 4)

88. Montenegro (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

89. Morocco (data reliability score: 3)

90. Mozambique (data reliability score: 3)

91. Myanmar (data reliability score: 4)

92. Nauru (data reliability score: 2)

93. Nepal (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

94. Nicaragua (data reliability score: 3)
95. Niger (data reliability score: 4)

96. Nigeria (data reliability score: 3)

97. Pakistan (data reliability score: 3)

98. Panama (data reliability score: 2)

99. Papua New Guinea (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

100. Paraguay (data reliability score: 3)

101. Peru (data reliability score: 3)

102. Philippines (data reliability score: 3)

103. Poland (data reliability score: 3)
104. Portugal (data reliability score: 1)

105. Puerto Rico (data reliability score: 1)
Chari, Leary and Phan (2018), Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (2016–17, Hitchcock, Petek and Aldrete-Sanchez (2015), Kaske and Sivaloganathan (2016), IMF DataMapper. Note: Bonds in default refer to “tax-supported” obligations, meaning that they are a claim on government tax revenues, or are government-guaranteed obligations.

106. Romania (data reliability score: 3)

107. Rwanda (data reliability score: 3)

108. St. Kitts & Nevis (data reliability score: 3)

109. St. Lucia (data reliability score: 4)
ECCB (2017–18), World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

110. St. Vincent and the Grenadines (data reliability score: 4)
ECCB (2017–18), World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

111. Samoa (data reliability score: 3)

112. São Tomé and Príncipe (data reliability score: 3)

113. Senegal (data reliability score: 3)

114. Serbia (data reliability score: 3)
creditor category includes an unpaid US$45 million loan from Libya, originally made to Yugoslavia in 1981, that has not yet been resolved.

115. Seychelles (data reliability score: 3)

116. Sierra Leone (data reliability score: 4)

117. Slovenia (data reliability score: 1)

118. Solomon Islands (data reliability score: 4)
AsDB SDBS (2019), IFS, IMF Article IV Staff Reports (2004–11), World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

119. Somalia (data reliability score: 4)
124. Suriname (data reliability score: 4)

125. eSwatini (Swaziland) (data reliability score: 4)
IMF Article IV Staff Reports (2020), World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

126. Syria (data reliability score: 4)

127. Tajikistan (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

128. Tanzania (data reliability score: 4)

129. Thailand (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

130. Togo (data reliability score: 3)

131. Tonga (data reliability score: 4)

132. Trinidad & Tobago (data reliability score: 1)

133. Tunisia (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

134. Turkey (data reliability score: 3)
135. Turkmenistan (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

136. Uganda (data reliability score: 3)

137. Ukraine (data reliability score: 3)

138. Uruguay (data reliability score: 2)
Bittermann (1973), Cruces and Trebesch (2011), IMF Article IV Staff Reports (1966), Sturzenegger and Zettelmeyer (2005), IMF DataMapper.

139. USSR/Russia (data reliability score: 3)

140. Uzbekistan (data reliability score: 4)
World DataBank and IMF DataMapper.

141. Vanuatu (data reliability score: 4)

142. Venezuela (data reliability score: 3)

143. Vietnam (data reliability score: 3)
144. Yemen (data reliability score: 4)

145. Yugoslavia (data reliability score: 3)

146. Zambia (data reliability score: 3)

147. Zimbabwe (data reliability score: 3)