Entry Dynamics and the Decline in Exchange-Rate Pass-Through

Chris Gust (FRB) Sylvain Leduc (FRBSF) and Rob Vigfusson (FRB)

Exchange Rates and Macroeconomic Adjustment June 15-16, 2011

Motivation

- Evidence that U.S. PT has declined since early 1990s
 - From 50% in the 1980s to 10-20% today
 - Clearer for finished goods' imports

- GLV (2010) emphasize trade integration and pricing complementarities
 - Low cost producers set relatively high an variable markups
 - Decline in trade costs lowers PT

- What about the extensive margin?
 - Entry/exit of firms over time
 - Lower and less variable markups, upward pressure on PT

What we do

- Study the effect of exporter entry/exit decisions on PT in the presence of trade integration
- Key features:
 - variable demand elasticity: firm's pricing decision depends on prices of competitors:
 - Good specific fixed costs of exporting
- As in GLV (2010), relate the decline in PT to:
 - lower tariff and transport costs
 - foreign exporters' relative increase in productivity

Findings

- Factors leading to greater trade integration account for a significant part of the decline in PT
- Entry is essential for trade:
 - Model assigns 75% of the rise in US import share since the early 1980s to new goods
- But effect of firm entry/exit on PT is small
 - variations in exporters' markups along the intensive margin largely dominate the effect of entry

• We focus on a price index for imported finished goods:

- An aggregation over end use categories of automotive products, consumer goods, and capital goods
- Excludes services, computers, commodities
- Index of the price of imported finished goods relative to domestic consumer goods (durables and nondurables)

• Real exchange rate:

 a 39 country trade weighted exchange rate with weights based on all non-oil imports

Share of finished goods in total imports



A naïve estimate of PT



Other estimates of PT



 $\log P_m = \log(\mu^*) + \log(\varepsilon) + \log(mc^*)$

Fall in ERPT using disaggregated data

We look at 40 finished goods industries pre- and post-1990



9

Summary statistics

Moment (Differenced)	Full Sample	1980:1-1989:4	1990:1-2004:4
a. $\beta_{p_m,q}$	0.35	0.55	0.13
$(a = b^*c)$			
b. σ_{p_m}/σ_q	0.47	0.60	0.25
c. $\operatorname{corr}(q, p_m)$	0.75	0.92	0.51
Moment (HP-Filtered)			
a. $\beta_{p_m,q}$	0.46	0.59	0.17
$(a = b^*c)$			
b. σ_{p_m}/σ_q	0.54	0.61	0.29
c. $\operatorname{corr}(q, p_m)$	0.85	0.95	0.60

$$\beta_{p_m,q} = \frac{\operatorname{cov}(\Delta p_{m_t}, \Delta q_t)}{\operatorname{var}(\Delta q_t)} = \operatorname{corr}(\Delta p_{m_t}, \Delta q_t) \frac{\operatorname{std}(\Delta p_{m_t})}{\operatorname{std}(\Delta q_t)}$$

DGE model

- DGE model with 2 countries producing differentiated traded goods
- HH demand variety of domestic and foreign goods. Demand aggregator has non-constant elasticity of substitution (NCES)
- Firms are monopolistic competitors
- Production is linear in labor: Y=Z*L
- Trade costs allow firms to price-to-market
- Endogenous export decision
- Complete domestic and int'l financial markets

Household demand aggregator

• HH minimize total expenditures:

$$\min\left(\int_{0}^{1} p_{d}(i)c_{d}(i)di + \int_{0}^{\omega^{*}} p_{m}(i)c_{m}(i)di\right)$$

s.t. $D(c_{d}(i), c_{m}(i)) = 1$

- $C_{mt}(i)$ indexed over $i \in [0, \omega_t^*]$, where ω_t^* endogenously determined fraction of foreign goods
- D(.,.) allows for NCES across goods

Household demand

• Demand curve for import good i:

$$c_{mt}(i) = \frac{1}{1+\omega_t^*} \left[\frac{1}{1+\eta} \left(\frac{p_{mt}(i)}{p_{mt}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \left(\frac{p_{mt}}{\Gamma_t} \right)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-\gamma}} + \frac{\eta}{1+\eta} \right] C_t$$

• Γ is a price index for all of a firm's competitors:

$$\Gamma = \left[\left(\frac{1}{1 + \omega_t^*} \right) p_d \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - \rho} + \left(\frac{\omega_t^*}{1 + \omega_t^*} \right) p_{mt} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - \rho} \right]^{\frac{\gamma - \rho}{\gamma}}$$

Firm's pricing decision in domestic market

Firms set prices at home and abroad. Problem for setting domestic price:

$$\max(p_{dt}(i) - \frac{W_t}{Z_t})c_{dt}(i) \qquad p_{dt}(i) = \mu_{dt}(i) \frac{W_t}{Z_t}$$

• In a symmetric equilibrium, the markup is given by:

$$\mu_{dt} = \left[1 - \frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{E}_{dt}\right|}\right]^{-1} = \left[\gamma + \eta(\gamma - 1)\left(\frac{p_{dt}}{\Gamma_t}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho - \gamma}}\right]^{-1}$$

• If
$$\eta < 0$$
: $\downarrow \left(\frac{p_{dt}}{\Gamma_t} \right) \Rightarrow \uparrow \mu_{dt}$

Export entry/exit decision of a domestic firm

• Each period, a firm faces a fixed cost of exporting, which varies with a good's type and is paid in units of labor:

$$f_x(i) = \frac{f}{1 - \alpha_x i}, \quad \alpha_x \ge 0$$

• The entry decision is made before the realization of the shocks. Firms will decide to export if:

$$E_{t-1}\left[\lambda_{t-1,t}\left(\pi_{xt}(i) - f_x(i)w_t\right)\right] > 0$$

• Where profits in the foreign market are:

$$\pi_{xt}(i) = \left(q_t p_{mt}^*(i) - \frac{D_t w_t}{Z_t}\right) c_{mt}^*(i)$$

Experiment

- Linearize system of equations around 2 steady states
- First SS has high trade costs and relatively low foreign productivity
- Second SS has low trade costs and relatively high foreign productivity
- D=D*=1.1 and set the decline in Ds to 5 ppt
 - Decline based on US transport costs and tariff data
 - Conservative estimate
- Set the level of foreign productivity 35% higher than at home in the second SS

Other calibrated numbers

- Set η , σ_z , and σ_d so that, for 1980-89, we match:
 - $\sigma_{y,} \sigma_{pm/} \sigma_{RER}$ and $\rho(P_m, RER)$ $\Rightarrow \beta_{p_m,q}$ is pinned down on pre-1990 data

 $\Rightarrow \eta = -3.05$

- Set *f* so that the import share is initially 10%
- Set α_x so that the import share rises 4 ppt in the second SS

Some properties of the model



A direct measure of ERPT

• Foreign exporter's pricing equations:

$$p_{mt} = \mu_{mt} D_t^* \frac{W_t^*}{Z_t^*} q_t$$

• Linearized:
$$\hat{p}_{m_t} = k_m (\hat{D}_t^* + \hat{w}_t^* - \hat{Z}_t^* + \hat{q}_t) + (1 - k_m)\hat{\Gamma}_t$$

• The direct measure of pass-through:

$$k_{m} \equiv \frac{\partial \ln(p_{m})}{\partial \ln(q)} = \frac{1}{1 - \eta \mu_{m} \left(\frac{\rho(\gamma - 1)}{\gamma - \rho}\right) \left(\frac{\Gamma}{p_{m}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma - \rho}{\rho}}}$$

• With $\eta < 0$: $k_m < 1$

Trade integration and ERPT



$$MR_{m}(j) = P_{m}(j) \left[1 - \frac{1}{\left| \varepsilon_{m}(j) \right|} \right]$$

Fall in trade costs and increase in foreign productivity

Trade Costs (D, D [*])	-5 ppt	
Foreign Productivity (Z*)	35 %	
Foreign Exporter's Marginal Cost	-23.8 %	
(qD*mc*)		
Home import Price	-9.9 %	
(p _m)		
Foreign Exporter's Markup	13.9 %	
(µ _m)		
Direct Pass-Through	-11.6 ppt	
(κ _m)		
Pass-through	-14.7 ppt	
(β _{pm,q})		
Home Firm's Markup at Home	-1.7 %	
(µ _d)		

$B_{\text{pm},\text{q}}$ is related to this direct measure of PT by:

$$\beta_{p_m q} \equiv k_m + k_m \left(\frac{\operatorname{cov}(\Delta(\hat{D}_t^* + \hat{w}_t^* - \hat{Z}_t^*, \Delta \hat{q}_t))}{\operatorname{var}(\Delta \hat{q}_t)} \right) + (1 - k_m) \frac{\operatorname{cov}(\Delta \hat{\Gamma}_t, \Delta \hat{q}_t)}{\operatorname{var}(\Delta \hat{q}_t)}$$

Entry and ERPT (1)





Entry and ERPT (2)





Conclusion

- Economic forces that lower foreign exporters' marginal costs in US dollars lead to:
 - Higher and more variable exporters' markups
 - Lower ERPT
- Entry is important to account for rise in trade
- But effect of entry on PT is limited in our model
- Overall, less puzzling to see declining PT along with greater trade openness

Entry and PT (3)



