

# The Harrod-Balassa-Samuelson Effect: Reconciling the Evidence

ECB - BoC Workshop : "Exchange Rates and Macroeconomic Adjustment" 15 - 16 June 2011



Christiane Baumeister Ehsan U. Choudhri Lawrence Schembri

www.bank-banque-canada.ca

This presentation represents the views of the authors, not the Bank of Canada



# Introduction & Motivation: HBS Hypothesis

- Developed by Harrod (1933), Balassa (1964) and Samuelson (1964)
- To explain sustained real exchange rate (RER) appreciations in rapidly growing economies, via productivity increases
  - Strongest evidence in Japan and Eastern Europe
- Posits that relatively strong productivity growth in the tradables sector raises the relative price of nontradables and the RER
- Provides an argument against long-run purchasing power parity



#### Japan: RER and Real Per Capita Income

(1970 = 100)275 250 225 200 175 150 125 100 

 1970

 1971

 1971

 1972

 1973

 1974

 1975

 1975

 1975

 1975

 1975

 1975

 1975

 1975

 1975

 1976

 1977

 1976

 1977

 1978

 1981

 1982

 1983

 1984

 1985

 1986

 1987

 1988

 1988

 1989

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 1999

 <t REER index Real GDP per capita index - -



#### Poland, Czech & Slovakia: RER & Real Per Capita Income





#### Brazil, Chile and Mexico: RER & Per Capita Income





### **RER adjustment in Latin America versus East Asia**

#### **Chart 2: EME Real Effective Exchange Rate**





#### **Purpose: Reconciling the evidence**

- The main purpose of the paper is to attempt to reconcile the mixed evidence surrounding the HBS hypothesis
- Both theoretically and empirically
- Derive a theoretically based empirical model
  - Using per-capita income is not a true test of HBS hypothesis
- Estimate the model using a consistent OECD panel database on labour productivity by sector



# **Methodology / Outline**

- 1. Derive the <u>conventional</u> empirical model for the HBS hypothesis
- 2. Derive an <u>extended</u> version of the empirical model of the HBS hypothesis using a monopolistically competitive model
- 3. Estimate the empirical model using time series/panel data techniques
- 4. Interpret and reconcile the results



## **Theoretical Framework**

- Derive the empirical relations to represent the HBS hypothesis
- Two countries (home and foreign), one factor (labour), and two composite goods (tradable and nontradable goods)
- Focus on long-run effects: Assume flexible prices, financial autarky, mobile labour and balanced trade
- To facilitate comparison across two models, assume CES aggregators and a continuum of goods in tradable and nontradable bundles



### **The Conventional Model**

Consumption: Aggregate, Nontradable and Tradable

$$C = \left[ \gamma^{1/\varepsilon} C_N^{(\varepsilon-1)/\varepsilon} + (1-\gamma)^{1/\varepsilon} C_T^{(\varepsilon-1)/\varepsilon} \right]^{\varepsilon/(\varepsilon-1)}$$
$$C_N = \left[ \int_{i \in \Omega_N} C_N(i)^{(\sigma-1/\sigma)} di \right]^{\sigma/(\sigma-1)}$$
$$C_T = \left[ \int_{j \in \Omega_T} C_T(j)^{(\sigma-1/\sigma)} dj \right]^{\sigma/(\sigma-1)}$$

**Production: Nontradable and Tradable** 

 $Y_N(i) = A_N L_N(i)$  $Y_T(j) = A_T L_T(j)$ 



# **The Conventional Model**

Real Exchange Rate Relation:

- Each country's tradable/nontradable productivity ratio affects the RER via the relative price of nontradables
- Assume that the share of nontradables is same across countries, the RER is related to home/foreign productivity ratio in each sector
- Letting a hat over a variable denote the log deviation from its initial value, obtain a typical form of the HBS relation:

$$\hat{Q} = \gamma(\hat{A}_T - \hat{A}_T) - \gamma(\hat{A}_N - \hat{A}_N)$$



## **Monopolistic Competition Model**

- Monopolistic competition in each sector with symmetrical firms and free entry
- Nontradable good is still an aggregate of (nontradable) varieties
- Tradable good now is of a continuum of home & foreign varieties:

$$C_{T} = \left[\theta^{1/\eta} C_{H}^{(\eta-1)/\eta} + (1-\theta)^{1/\eta} C_{F}^{(\eta-1)/\eta}\right]^{\eta/(\eta-1)},$$
  

$$C_{H} = \left[\int_{j \in \Omega_{H}} C_{H}(j)^{(\sigma-1)/\sigma} dj\right]^{\sigma/(\sigma-1)}, C_{F} = \left[\int_{j^{*} \in \Omega_{F}} C_{F}(j^{*})^{(\sigma-1)/\sigma} dj^{*}\right]^{\sigma/(\sigma-1)}$$



## **Monopolistic Competition Model**

- $1/A_N$  and  $1/A_H$  units of labor to produce a unit of a domestic nontradable and a tradable variety
- $\phi / A_N$  and  $\phi / A_H$  fixed amounts for non-production activities
- In the monopolistic competition model, home-foreign productivity differentials affect the RER through two additional channels:
  - 1. the terms of trade
  - 2. the number of home and foreign varieties



## **Monopolistic Competition Model**

Real Exchange Rate Relation:

• Solve the model to derive:

$$\hat{Q} = (\gamma + T_1 + N_1)(\hat{A}_F - \hat{A}_H^*) + (-\gamma + T_2 + N_2)(\hat{A}_N - \hat{A}_N^*)$$

- *γ* represents the conventional effect via the nontraded/traded
   price ratios
- $T_1, T_2$  are the terms of trade channel effects
- $N_1, N_2$  are the number of number of varieties channel effects



## The Terms of Trade Channel

- The effects through the terms of trade channel depend on:
  - 1. ε elasticity of substitution between tradables & nontradables
  - 2.  $\eta$  elasticity of substitution between home & foreign tradables
  - 3.  $\theta \theta^*$  home bias in the consumption of tradable goods
- The effects via the number of varieties channel also depends on the same parameters



#### Data

- Annual data from 1977 to 2006 30 observations per country
- 16 OECD countries: Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Korea, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Sweden, U.K. and U.S.
- RER and productivity differential data are calculated relative to the average of the rest of the sample
- RER is obtained using NER and CPI from the IMF's *IFS database*
- Labour productivity is output per employee in four tradable goods industries and five nontradable goods industries from the OECD's STAN database



#### **Regression model and estimation**

Dynamic OLS specification (to incorporate co-integration)

 $ln Q_i = \delta_i + \beta_{iT}(lnA_{iT} - lnA_{wT}) + \beta_{iN}(lnA_{iN} - lnA_{wN}) + one lead and one lag of the first differences$ 

- HBS Hypothesis:  $\beta_{iT} > 0$  and  $\beta_{iN} < 0$
- Three different panel DOLS techniques:
  - 1. Fixed -Effect Panel: Homogeneous LR & SR coefficients
  - 2. Pooled Mean-Group Panel: Homogeneous LR coefficients
  - 3. Group Mean Panel: Panel average of heterogeneous LR coefficients



#### **Estimation results: World Base**

DOLS Estimation Technique	Tradable Productivity Differential	Nontradable Productivity Differential
Fixed-Effect Panel	-0.31** (0.13)	0.43 (0.32)
Pooled-Mean Group Panel	-0.19*** (0.04)	0.04 (0.12)
Group-Mean Panel	-0.48*** (0.10)	0.29*** (0.13)
Dependent Variable: InRER	Sample: 1977-2006	



# **Estimation Results: Other Notable Findings**

- Using the U.S. or Germany as the basis for comparison does not change the qualitative nature of the results
  - Values/significance of the coefficients change somewhat
- The results using aggregate productivity as the explanatory variable, as a proxy for per capita income, are more mixed
- Estimates by country are very heterogeneous with no obvious pattern



# Interpreting and Reconciling the Results

- The mixed results are consistent with other findings
   E.g., Peltonen and Sager (ECB, 2009)
- Theoretical reconciliation
  - Numerically simulating the theoretical monopolistic competition model with different estimates for key substitution elasticities
- Empirical reconciliation
  - Investigate robustness of the results



# **Home-Foreign Goods Substitution Elasticity**

- The effect of the tradable productivity differential depends on η
  - Below a critical value, the effects (via the terms of trade and number of varieties channels) can cause a real depreciation
  - The increase in home productivity causes an increase in supply and a decline in the terms of trade
- Thus, the conventional HBS effect can be offset and even reversed for a small enough value of η



# **Tradable-Nontradable Substitution Elasticity**

- If ε > 1 the nontradable productivity differential causes a RER appreciation via the terms of trade
  - E.g., a productivity increase in home nontradables would raise the share of nontradables and reduce the supply of tradables, thereby increasing the terms of trade
- The nontradable productivity differential could also cause a real appreciation via the number of varieties
- Thus, the sign of the coefficient of non-tradable productivity differential in the conventional model could also be reversed



# **Reconciling the Results: Numerical Simulation**

- We use data for OECD countries (averaged over periods & countries) to set the nontradable share equal to 0.73 and the home bias equal to 0.3.
- We let the elasticity of substitution between varieties (  $\sigma$  ) equal to 6 based on the evidence on mark-ups ~ 20%
- Estimates of the elasticity of substitution between home and foreign tradable goods (η) range from <1 to >>1
- Estimates of elasticity of substitution between tradable and non-tradable goods (ε) has received less attention, but worth exploring
  - Typically assumed to be close to one



#### Numerical simulation: Effects on *lnQ* (RER)

3	η	$ln A_T - ln A_T^*$	$ln A_N - ln A_N^*$
1.0	3.0	0.594	-0.801
1.0	1.0	-0.091	-0.802
1.0	0.5	-1.659	-0.803
2.0	3.0	0.532	-0.756
2.0	1.0	-0.227	-0375
2.0	0.5	-0925	0.009
3.0	3.0	0.476	-0.705
3.0	1.0	-0.300	-0.130
3.0	0.5	-0.733	0.219



#### **Empirical robustness**

- Consider different samples periods
- Signs of estimated LR coefficients on the productivity differentials are sensitive to the sample period
- Lee and Tang (2007) also find that the results are sensitive to the definition of productivity (TFP versus labour)



#### **Recursive Regression Results: U.S. Base**





#### **Recursive Regression Results: World Base**





# **Concluding remarks**

- Made progress in understanding mixed empirical results for HBS hypothesis by extending theoretical model
- Empirical results are unstable over time and across countries
  - Sample may be too short to obtain low-frequency estimates
  - Time series/panel techniques unable to remove cyclical effects and other macro factors
- Future work:
  - Investigate the empirical results further (e.g., RER & China)
  - Build a dynamic version of model to replicate mixed empirical results







## The Terms of Trade Channel

The effects through the terms of trade adjustment are

$$T_{1} = \frac{\sigma[\gamma(1-\beta)+\beta](1-\gamma+\gamma\tilde{\varepsilon})}{(\sigma-1)\Delta}$$
$$T_{2} = \frac{-\sigma\gamma[\gamma(1-\beta)+\beta](\tilde{\varepsilon}-1)}{(\sigma-1)\Delta}$$
$$\Delta \equiv 1 - (1-\gamma+\gamma\tilde{\varepsilon})(1-\beta) - \eta(1+\beta)$$
$$\tilde{\varepsilon} \equiv \varepsilon(\sigma-1)/(\sigma-\varepsilon), \beta = \theta - \theta^{*}$$

- The effects depend on:
  - $\mathcal{E}$  the elasticity of substitution between tradables & nontradables
  - $-\eta$  the elasticity of substitution between home & foreign tradables
  - $-\beta$  the home bias in the consumption of tradable goods



#### The Number of Varieties Channel

The effects through the adjustment of number of varieties are

$$N_{1} = \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}\gamma}{\sigma - 1} \left[ 1 + \frac{(1 - \gamma + \gamma\tilde{\varepsilon})}{\tilde{\Delta}} \right]$$
$$N_{2} = \frac{-\tilde{\varepsilon}\gamma}{\sigma - 1} \left[ 1 + \frac{\gamma(\tilde{\varepsilon} - 1)}{\tilde{\Delta}} \right]$$

 These effects also depend on the two elasticities and home bias



# Implications for the Productivity Effects

- Adjustment in terms of trade can weaken or even reverse the effect of the tradable productivity differential on RER via supply effects
  - (Benigno and Thoenissen, 2003)
- The effect through the number of varieties channel has not been fully explored

 The role of the two channels for the effect of the nontradable productivity differential also needs to be examined



# **Home-Foreign Substitution Elasticity: Estimates**

- Controversy about the value of the elasticity of substitution between home and foreign tradable goods
- Estimates based on macro models indicate that the value of this elasticity is low and below 1.0 (Bergin, 2006; Lubik and Schorfheide, 2005)
- Studies based on the disaggregated trade data suggest the average value of the elasticity to be much larger (Imbs and Mejean, 2009)



#### **Tradable-Nontradable Substitution Elasticity: Estimates**

- Elasticity of substitution between tradable and non-tradable goods has received less attention
  - Typically assumed to be close to one
- But this assumption is not based on empirical estimation, and this elasticity could be greater than one
- Given the uncertainty about the values of the two elasticities, we consider a wide range of values for these elasticities