

Discussion of "Potential Output Growth in Several Industrialized Countries: A Comparison"



Don Coletti Research Director International Department Bank of Canada



Overview

- 1. Highlights
- 2. Some thoughts on modeling TFP from a policymakers perspective
 - Difficulties identifying breaks in trends
 - Discrimination between deterministic trends and stochastic trends and Type I versus Type II policy errors



Highlights

- International comparisons of potential output growth (7 countries/regions) based on 3 different techniques
 - Careful to distinguish between medium-term and long-term concepts
- 2. New contributions:
 - 1. Consistent and homogenous capital stock series
 - 2. Capital deepening effects calculated based on a stable capital/output ratio in value terms



Conclusions

- Medium-term U.S. potential growth much higher than Euro Area over 1991-2004 due to (3.2% versus 2.2%)
 - Differences in contribution from labour input growth, mainly reflecting demographic factors (0.8 versus 0.2%)
 - 2. Stronger contribution from TFP growth (1.4 versus 0.9)
 - domestic R&D expenditures highly correlated with tfp growth across countries



Detecting Trend Breaks

- Detecting breaks in the trend growth of productivity is difficult
 - with the benefit of hindsight it may seem relatively clear in mid-sample
 - hard to identify in real time because trend breaks are clouded by transitory movements in data

Detecting Trend Breaks

 Conventional tests are not very powerful as the possible break point approaches the end of the data sample

Andrews (2003): best of the bunch

Detecting Trend Breaks

- "Are We There Yet? Looking for Evidence of A New Economy -- Van Norden (2005)
 - Simulation experiments calibrated to U.S. labour productivity growth data
 - -Null: no break

 $q_t = \alpha + p \cdot q_{t-1} + \mathcal{E}_t$

Detecting Trend Breaks

- How long does it takes to detect an improvement in trend productivity growth at the 5% level with a probability of at least 50%?
 - Double the trend growth rate: 6 quarters
 - 50% improvement: 5 years
 - 25% improvement: 9 years
- Economically important changes in trend growth are identified too late for policymakers
 - need to take probabilistic approach to operationalize this research



Modelling the TFP trend

• TFP is modelled as:

 $g_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 g_{t-1} + \gamma_2 \Delta c u r_t + \gamma_3 \tau_t + \gamma_4 t + \gamma_5 t_1 + \gamma_6 t_2 + \varepsilon_t$

- Deterministic time trend (or trend stationary TS)
 - Segmented trends view (Perron 1989)



- Another possibility...
- Stochastic trend (or difference stationary DS) (Nelson and Plosser 1981)

$$\Delta g_t = \alpha_0 + \varepsilon_t$$



- econometrics question: can we reject the null of DS in favour of a deterministic time trend TS?
 - Tests have low power...not sure



- What is the monetary policymaker really interested in?
 - How should a policymaker adjust her estimate of potential output given an innovation in output of indeterminate origin?



- If she views tfp/potential as TS, she might simply continue to project linearly the level of trend tfp/potential from her starting point
 - 1970s monetary and fiscal policy mistakes in many western countries could be thought of in this light



Stochastic or Deterministic Process?

- If she thought of tfp/potential as DS, she might incorporate a discrete jump in her level of trend tfp/ potential output
- Being wrong could be costly with either assumption but what should she assume? (Coletti, Muir and Tetlow 1995)



Stochastic or Deterministic Process?

- Prudent strategy is to assume that TFP is a stochastic process
 - Measurement errors will be more systematic under the TS assumption than under the DS assumption if the model turns out to be wrong!
 - Take part of the surprise as a shock to potential output

Conclusions/Suggestions

- Carefully done, thoughtful paper
- Suggestions:
 - alternative ways to model tfp
 - structural analysis of medium-term labour inputs (e.g. using cohort analysis for estimating the trend participation rate)
 - more work on understanding reasons why tfp is so different across countries (e.g. Canada)
 - What's preventing the adoption of tfp growth enhancing measures in certain countries?