Discussion: "The Reanchoring Channel of QE – The ECB's Asset Purchase Programme and Long-Term Inflation Expectations" P. Andrade, J. Breckenfleder, F. De Fiore, P. Karadi, O. Tristani

Carolin Pflueger

University of British Columbia

Is QE effective in stimulating an economy when short-term interest rates are at the zero-lower bound?

- Is QE effective in stimulating an economy when short-term interest rates are at the zero-lower bound?
- If so, what is the channel?

- Is QE effective in stimulating an economy when short-term interest rates are at the zero-lower bound?
- If so, what is the channel?
- This paper argues that an important channel acts through moving private sector inflation expectations and re-affirming the central bank's commitment to an inflation target.

- Recap of paper
- Comments
 - Important question
 - Intriguing and plausible channel
 - Nice combination of empirical evidence and modeling framework
 - Caution with quantitative interpretation
 - Why should private sector inflation expectations move with central bank asset purchases?

Summary

Evidence from monetary policy announcements

- Evidence from monetary policy announcements
- Measure unconventional monetary policy with change in 5-year German government bond yield around monetary policy announcements

- Evidence from monetary policy announcements
- Measure unconventional monetary policy with change in 5-year German government bond yield around monetary policy announcements
- Relate change in 5-year inflation expectations to lagged 5-year German yield surprise

Key Policy Date: 2015q1



- ECB announced "expanded asset purchase programme"
- Committed to purchase EUR 60 bn per month of public and private securities purchases
- Program expected to last until September 2016 or until inflation reaches close to 2%



Adjust standard errors for serial correlation!

- Builds on Gertler and Karadi's (2011) model of central bank intermediation in a New Keynesian economy
- Key addition: Inflation expectations linked to central bank asset purchases

- Intermediate long-term loans to private sector households cannot lend directly
- Hold long-term government bonds households constrained in government bond holdings
- ► Take short-term deposits from households
- Banks can divert a greater fraction of private loans than of government bonds, generating risk-adjusted leverage constraint

$$Q_t s_t + \Delta q_t b_t \leq \phi_t n_t$$

▶ $0 \le \Delta < 1$: risk-weight of government bonds

- ▶ Purchases private loans $S_{g,t}$ and long-term government bonds $B_{g,t}$
- Finances purchases by issuing risk-free debt D_t

$$Q_t S_{gt} + q_t B_{gt} = D_{gt}$$

- No leverage constraint, but less efficient at intermediating
- Government pays efficiency cost τ per unit of private loans or government bonds on balance sheet
 - Why does equal efficiency costs for private loans and government bonds make sense? Would private sector purchases look less attractive if they had a higher efficiency cost?

Short-rate

$$\begin{split} i_t^* &= i + \rho_i (i_{t-1} - i) + (1 - \rho_i) \left[\pi_t^* + \kappa_\pi (\pi_t - \pi_t^*) + \kappa_y y_t \right] \\ &+ \kappa_{\Delta \pi} (\pi_t - \pi_{t-1}) + \kappa_{\Delta y} (y_t - y_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_t \end{split}$$

Asset purchases at zero-lower-bound

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S_{gt} & = & \phi_{st}S_t \\ B_{gt} & = & \phi_{bt}B_t \end{array}$$

Risk-adjusted sum of asset holdings relative to steady-state output

$$\Psi_t = \frac{Q_t S_{gt} + \Delta q_t B_{gt}}{4 \bar{\gamma}}$$

Expectations Formation

Private sector's perceived inflation target

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{t+1}^{*} &= \rho_{\pi^{*e}} \pi_{t}^{*e} - \xi \{ s_{t} - s_{t}^{e} \} \\ s_{t} &= i_{t} - \zeta \Psi_{t} - \left[(1 - \rho_{i}) \kappa_{\pi} + \kappa_{\Delta \pi} \right] \pi_{t} - \left[(1 - \rho_{i}) \kappa_{y} + \kappa_{\Delta y} \right] y_{t} \\ s_{t}^{e} &= \tilde{E}_{t-1} s_{t} \end{aligned}$$

• Ψ_t : Risk-adjusted government asset purchases

Private sector's perceived inflation target

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{t+1}^{*} &= \rho_{\pi^{*e}} \pi_{t}^{*e} - \xi \{ s_{t} - s_{t}^{e} \} \\ s_{t} &= i_{t} - \zeta \Psi_{t} - [(1 - \rho_{i})\kappa_{\pi} + \kappa_{\Delta\pi}] \pi_{t} - [(1 - \rho_{i})\kappa_{y} + \kappa_{\Delta y}] y_{t} \\ s_{t}^{e} &= \tilde{E}_{t-1} s_{t} \end{aligned}$$

- Ψ_t : Risk-adjusted government asset purchases
- ► At zero-lower-bound without asset purchases → private agents conclude that central bank is content with low inflation

Private sector's perceived inflation target

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{t+1}^{*} &= \rho_{\pi^{*e}} \pi_{t}^{*e} - \xi \{ s_{t} - s_{t}^{e} \} \\ s_{t} &= i_{t} - \zeta \Psi_{t} - [(1 - \rho_{i})\kappa_{\pi} + \kappa_{\Delta\pi}] \pi_{t} - [(1 - \rho_{i})\kappa_{y} + \kappa_{\Delta y}] y_{t} \\ s_{t}^{e} &= \tilde{E}_{t-1} s_{t} \end{aligned}$$

- Ψ_t : Risk-adjusted government asset purchases
- ► At zero-lower-bound without asset purchases → private agents conclude that central bank is content with low inflation
- Central bank can use asset purchases to move perceived inflation target back up to true objective

Comments

- The channel in this paper requires that the (perceived) long-run inflation target varies over time. Is this plausible?
- Long-term nominal bond yields move substantially on release dates of macroeconomic news (Gurkaynak, Sack and Swanson, 2005)
- New-Keynesian model with constant inflation target cannot generate plausible variation in long-term nominal interest rates
- Time-varying inflation target important for understanding risk properties of long-term bonds (Campbell, Pflueger, Viceira, 2015)

Nominal Bond Yield Responses to Macro Announcements (Gurkaynak, Sack, and Swanson, 2005)



Long-Term SPF Inflation Forecast (ECB Website)



January 22, 2015: ECB announced "expanded asset purchase programme"

Long-Term SPF Inflation Forecast (ECB Website)



- January 22, 2015: ECB announced "expanded asset purchase programme"
- This is the most significant observation in the authors' sample and precedes an increase in medium- and long-term inflation expectations

Maturity Structure of Inflation Expectations

- 2-year inflation expectations increased much more than longer-term inflation expectations after expanded asset purchase programme announcement
- ► A quick glance suggests that this is consistent with the model
- Use richer inflation expectations data to further pin down the model?



Figure 9: Baseline Policy Impact (Red Dashed) and Equivalent Monetary Policy Shock (Black Solid)

Do All Asset Purchases Drive Inflation Expectations?

- On July 26, 2012 Mario Draghi introduced the Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) programme, allowing the ECB to theoretically purchase unlimited amounts of government bonds in secondary markets
- "Whatever it takes to save the Euro..." is often cited as the most important unconventional monetary policy event in Europe (Acharya, Eisert, Eufinger, Hirsch, 2016)
- But inflation expectations remained constant
- Important open question: Why do some policies move long-term inflation expectations and other, apparently similar, policies do not?

German 10-Year Breakeven Inflation (Bloomberg)



Significant variation in long-term breakeven (std. 0.4%)

German 10-Year Breakeven Inflation (Bloomberg)



- Significant variation in long-term breakeven (std. 0.4%)
- Despite liquidity concerns in inflation-indexed bond markets, movements broadly consistent with survey expectations
 - No increase after "Whatever it takes..."
 - Substantial increase after extended asset purchase programme

Increase in breakeven from Jan 21 to Jan 22 (0.11%) quantitatively consistent with increase in survey inflation expectations

- Increase in breakeven from Jan 21 to Jan 22 (0.11%) quantitatively consistent with increase in survey inflation expectations
- Std. of daily breakeven changes during this period = 0.03%, so the announcement day move is sizable

- Increase in breakeven from Jan 21 to Jan 22 (0.11%) quantitatively consistent with increase in survey inflation expectations
- Std. of daily breakeven changes during this period = 0.03%, so the announcement day move is sizable
- But we also see a much larger sustained increase in breakeven that started slightly before policy announcement and continued well after
 - Breakeven trough: 0.59% on January 6, 2015
 - Breakeven peak: 1.36% on June 26, 2015

- Increase in breakeven from Jan 21 to Jan 22 (0.11%) quantitatively consistent with increase in survey inflation expectations
- Std. of daily breakeven changes during this period = 0.03%, so the announcement day move is sizable
- But we also see a much larger sustained increase in breakeven that started slightly before policy announcement and continued well after
 - Breakeven trough: 0.59% on January 6, 2015
 - Breakeven peak: 1.36% on June 26, 2015
- If there was a general upward trend in inflation expectations during this period, quarterly survey forecasts will also reflect this trend rather than pure announcement effect

- Increase in breakeven from Jan 21 to Jan 22 (0.11%) quantitatively consistent with increase in survey inflation expectations
- Std. of daily breakeven changes during this period = 0.03%, so the announcement day move is sizable
- But we also see a much larger sustained increase in breakeven that started slightly before policy announcement and continued well after
 - Breakeven trough: 0.59% on January 6, 2015
 - Breakeven peak: 1.36% on June 26, 2015
- If there was a general upward trend in inflation expectations during this period, quarterly survey forecasts will also reflect this trend rather than pure announcement effect
- Is there a model counterpart for the substantial breakeven variation on non-monetary-policy dates?

The Perceived Inflation Target Rule

$$\pi_{t+1}^* = \rho_{\pi^{*e}} \pi_t^{*e} - \xi \{ s_t - s_t^e \}$$

$$s_t = i_t - \zeta \Psi_t - [(1 - \rho_i)\kappa_\pi + \kappa_{\Delta\pi}] \pi_t - [(1 - \rho_i)\kappa_y + \kappa_{\Delta y}] y_t$$

$$s_t^e = \tilde{E}_{t-1} s_t$$

- The complicated rule for the signal s_t is simply stated with little explanation or derivation. Where do we think this comes from?
- \blacktriangleright The parameter ζ is hard to estimate and important for quantitative implications

The Perceived Inflation Target Rule

$$\pi_{t+1}^* = \rho_{\pi^{*e}} \pi_t^{*e} - \xi \{ s_t - s_t^e \}$$

$$s_t = i_t - \zeta \Psi_t - [(1 - \rho_i)\kappa_\pi + \kappa_{\Delta\pi}] \pi_t - [(1 - \rho_i)\kappa_y + \kappa_{\Delta y}] y_t$$

$$s_t^e = \tilde{E}_{t-1} s_t$$

- The complicated rule for the signal s_t is simply stated with little explanation or derivation. Where do we think this comes from?
- The parameter ζ is hard to estimate and important for quantitative implications
- A rational expectations framework might provide guidance why some interventions affect inflation expectations but others do not

The Perceived Inflation Target Rule

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{t+1}^{*} &= \rho_{\pi^{*e}} \pi_{t}^{*e} - \xi \left\{ s_{t} - s_{t}^{e} \right\} \\ s_{t} &= i_{t} - \zeta \Psi_{t} - \left[(1 - \rho_{i}) \kappa_{\pi} + \kappa_{\Delta \pi} \right] \pi_{t} - \left[(1 - \rho_{i}) \kappa_{y} + \kappa_{\Delta y} \right] y_{t} \\ s_{t}^{e} &= \tilde{E}_{t-1} s_{t} \end{aligned}$$

- The complicated rule for the signal s_t is simply stated with little explanation or derivation. Where do we think this comes from?
- The parameter ζ is hard to estimate and important for quantitative implications
- A rational expectations framework might provide guidance why some interventions affect inflation expectations but others do not
- Simple exercise: Plot impulse responses for perceived private sector inflation expectations against rational expectations. Are they similar?

 Paper focuses on telling a compelling story for one particular historical episode

- Paper focuses on telling a compelling story for one particular historical episode
- ▶ Make the paper broader by decomposing how each channel contributes

- Paper focuses on telling a compelling story for one particular historical episode
- ▶ Make the paper broader by decomposing how each channel contributes
- 1. Shock to perceived inflation target
- 2. Shock to government bond purchases w/o effect on inflation expectations
- 3. Shock to private sector purchases w/o effect on inflation expectations

- Timely topic: We still need better understanding of inflation anchoring and perceived inflation target
- Channel is plausible: European long-term inflation expectations increased substantially after January 2015 policy announcement
- Given the novelty and difficulty in estimating required parameters, caution is warranted with quantitative interpretation
- Why do private sector inflation expectations (sometimes) move with large scale asset purchases?