# Monetary Policy and Global Banking

Falk Bräuning (FRB Boston) and Victoria Ivashina (Harvard)

The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston or the Federal Reserve System.

#### **Research Question:**

- Foreign banks are central to economic activity:
  - BIS, Jun 2015: European banks' claims on US non-bank firms = \$1.62 trillion
  - BIS, Jun 2015: Japanese banks' claims on US non-bank firms = \$0.72 trillion
  - DealScan, 1990-2015, European and Japanese banks originate ~25% of large corporate loans

• International credit channel of monetary policy/What are the cross-border effects of monetary policy (through the balance sheets of global banks)?

#### International Credit Channel of Monetary Policy: Existing View

- Cetorelli and Goldberg (2012) study large U.S. banks:
  - Builds on Stein and Kashyap (2000)
  - Global internal capital markets insulate global banks from changes in a given country's monetary policy

#### In this Paper:

 While funds can be moved between markets, it is unlikely that they are in the same currency, and currency risk exposure is typically hedged by banks → Foreign banks rely heavily on FX swaps

• E.g., in June 2016, synthetic dollar funding from Eurozone and Japanese banks was > \$1.5 trillion

- Increased demand for FX swaps is amplified by banks' global management of liquidity (demand for high-yield reserve assets)
- Overall, there is an increased cost of synthetic dollar funding

 $\rightarrow$  Hedging costs drive wedge between marginal return of lending in dollars vs. foreign currency and, for capital-constraint banks, leads to contraction of dollar credit





Reserve holdings are pinned down separately, and don't matter for lending: in each currency you accumulate reserves as long as your marginal cost of raising funding is below the IOER



 $L^f + L^d \leq K$ 



 $L^f + L^d \leq K$ 

Capital-constrained banks can lend in dollars and euros but they must hedge FX risk or borrow in the currency in which they lend

$$c'(S) = r^f - r^d =: \Delta r$$
  
 $h'(L^f) = g'(L^d) + \Delta r$   
 $d'(D^d) = r^d$ 

$$\frac{\partial L^{f}}{\partial \Delta r} = \frac{1}{h''(L^{f}) + g''(K/\alpha - L^{f})} < 0,$$
$$\frac{\partial L^{d}}{\partial \Delta r} = -\frac{1}{h''(K/\alpha - L^{d}) + g''(L^{d})} > 0$$
$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \Delta r} = \frac{1}{c''(S)} > 0$$
$$\frac{\partial R^{f}}{\partial \Delta r} > 0 \qquad \frac{\partial R^{d}}{\partial \Delta r} < 0$$

## Empirical Findings: Roadmap

- I. Macro & bank-level analysis: Changes in reserves, cross-border fund movement, swapping activity and lending
  - Call reports
  - BIS data
- II. Micro loan-level analysis: Effects on lending
  - DealScan

Sample period from 2000:Q1 to 2015:Q2 and focus on USD, EUR, GBP, JPY, CHF, CAD (and banks from the related currency areas)

#### There are large movements in <u>excess</u> reserves of foreign banks:



• U.S., 2015:Q2: reserves holdings of foreign banks are over 2x government claims and over 2x cross-border interbank claims

#### FRB deposits of foreign banks and $\triangle IOER$ :



- 16 currency areas
- 2000:Q1 to 2015:Q2
- each observation corresponds to a foreign banking sectorquarter (e.g., total Japanese banks' deposits at FRB in a given quarter)

#### Table II (updated): Assets of Foreign Banks in the U.S. (Call Report Data)

	Reserve Assets					
Dependent Variable:	Log(Reserves)	Log(Treasuries)				
	(1)	(2)				
IOER Difference (pp)	0.634***	0.396***				
	(4.18)	(3.17)				
Fixed Effects:						
Bank	Yes	Yes				
Quarter	Yes	Yes				
R-sq.	0.80	0.84				
Observations	1,763	767				

1 pp increase in IOER difference  $\rightarrow$  63% increase in reserves by foreign banks

#### Table II (updated): Assets of Foreign Banks in the U.S. (Call Report Data)

	Reser	ve Assets	Lending in U.S.			
Dependent Variable:	Log(Reserves)	Log(Treasuries)	Log(C&I Loans)	Log(Loans and Leases)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
IOER Difference (pp)	0.634***	0.396***	-0.410**	-0.464***		
	(4.18)	(3.17)	(-2.31)	(-3.24)		
Fixed Effects:						
Bank	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Quarter	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
<i>R</i> -sq.	0.80	0.84	0.93	0.93		
Observations	1,763	767	1,683	1,736		

1 pp increase in IOER difference  $\rightarrow$  41% decrease in C&I loans by foreign banks

Table II (updated): Funding of Foreign Banks (Call Report Data) and FX Swaps (NY Fed FX Survey)

	Internal Capital Reallocation							
Dependent Variable:	Log(Internal Lending)	Log(Internal Borrowing)						
	(1)	(2)						
IOER Difference (pp)	-1.426***	0.514***						
	(-4.83)	(3.40)						
Fixed Effects:								
Bank	Yes	Yes						
Quarter	Yes	Yes						
<i>R</i> -sq.	0.674	0.79						
Observations	770	1,247						

Internal capital markets at work  $\rightarrow$  move funds from parent to US office

Table II (updated): Funding of Foreign Banks (Call Report Data) and FX Swaps (NY Fed FX Survey)

	Internal Cap	ital Reallocation	U.S. Dollar Swapping Activity			
Dependent Variable:	Log(Internal Lending)	Log(Internal Borrowing)	Log(FX Swap)	Cost of FX Swap		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
IOER Difference (pp)	-1.426***	0.514***	0.141***	0.609***		
	(-4.83)	(3.40)	(5.15)	(6.99)		
Fixed Effects:						
Bank / Currency	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Quarter / Month	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
<i>R</i> -sq.	0.674	0.79	0.914	0.865		
Observations	770	1,247	70	70		

Cross-currency movements  $\rightarrow$  synthetic dollar funding and rising cost of hedge

(data in columns 3-4 at currency-pair-month level)

Dependent Variable:	Log(Claim	s on Firms)	Log(Claims on Official Sector)		Log(Claims on Official Sector) FX Swap Volu		ume (USD bn)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
IOER Difference (pp)	-0.101***	-0.131***	0.067***	0.159***	16.059***	11.832***	
	(-9.74)	(-13.65)	(3.25)	(5.52)	(7.31)	(4.30)	
Spot FX Rate (USD)	0.675***		0.640***		42.073***		
	(10.98)		(2.91)		(3.15)		
Fixed Effects:							
Quarter ( <i>t</i> )	Yes		Yes		Yes		
Country ( <i>j</i> )	Yes		Yes		Yes		
Banking Sector (i)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Country*Quarter (jt)		Yes		Yes		Yes	
Observations	1,023	1,023	1,019	1,019	848	848	
R-squared	0.868	0.878	0.776	0.810	0.538	0.577	

#### Table III: Similar Pattern in Global Context using BIS data (US, GB, EA, JP, CH, CA)

# Micro Evidence:

- DealScan data on loan origination (syndicated loan sample)
- Lending in 6 currencies: USD, CAD, EUR, CHF, GBP, JPY
- All lenders and borrowers from these currency areas

 <u>Key advantage</u>: Better identification using loan origination in different currencies by same bank in same quarter + controlling for loan demand with borrower fixed effects

#### Results: Borrower-Lender-Level Regressions (Table VII)

Dependent variable: columns (1)-(4), dummy equal to 1 if the borrower got a loan from a foreign bank from a given currency area

Dependent Variable:	Probability of Lending						Log(Amount)			_		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
IOER Difference (pp)	-0.136***	-0.147***	-0.083***	-0.097***	-0.101***		-0.005*	-0.011***	-0.024***	-0.128*	-0.122*	
	(-15.34)	(-14.96)	(-4.89)	(-2.70)	(-3.00)		(-1.94)	(-4.13)	(-3.61)	(-1.88)	(-1.68)	
Spot FX Rate (USD)							1.483***	1.330***	1.373***	1.755***	1.712***	
							(20.90)	(19.25)	(18.51)	(4.21)	(4.31)	
Fixed Effects:												
Bank (i)	Yes	Yes					Yes	Yes				
Quarter (t)	Yes	Yes					Yes	Yes				
Borrower ( <i>j</i> )	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes	Yes	Yes			
Bank $\times$ Quarter ( <i>it</i> )			Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes	Yes	Yes	
Borrower $\times$ Quarter ( <i>jt</i> )				Yes	Yes					Yes	Yes	
Macro Controls					Yes						Yes	
Observations	2,727,596	2,321,002	2,321,002	2,321,002	2,321,002		72,433	60,975	60,975	60,975	60,975	
R-squared	0.013	0.013	0.018	0.651	0.652		0.760	0.794	0.805	0.974	0.974	

Firm-Level Regressions (quarter following a *positive* IOER differential shock) (Table VIII)

• Question: Can a firm substitute a reduction in lending by accessing other banks?

$$I(Loan^{f})_{jt} = D_{j} + D_{t} + \beta Foreign Bank Reliance_{jt}^{f} + \varepsilon_{jt}^{f}$$

• Foreign Bank Reliance: We look at composition of firm's last syndicate before foreign rate cut (positive IOER differential shock)



Example of RHS variable before foreign shock:

- If ECB cuts rate: Foreign Bank Reliance: 2/3
- If BOE cuts rate: Foreign Bank Reliance: 0

Table VIII: Firm-Level Regressions (quarter following a *positive* shock)

 $I(Loan^{f})_{jt} = D_{j} + D_{t} + \beta Foreign Bank Reliance_{jt}^{f} + \varepsilon_{jt}^{f}$ 

Dependent Variable:	Pro	obability of Getting	g a Loan	ΔLog(Amount)		
	All Markets All Market		Foreign Market	All Markets	All Markets	Foreign Market
		$r_d$ Shock	$r_d$ Shock		$r_d$ Shock	$r_d$ Shock
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Foreign Bank Reliance	-0.026***	-0.018***	-0.018***	-0.228***	-0.172**	-0.238***
	(-21.18)	(-12.97)	(-10.75)	(-3.17)	(-2.18)	(-2.67)
FX Spot (USD/Foreign Currency)				0.089	0.080	1.124**
				(0.81)	(0.56)	(1.99)
Fixed Effects:						
Firm $(D_j)$	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quarter $(D_t)$	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	1,294,044	1,031,249	953,504	18,868	16,217	15,474
R-squared	0.052	0.060	0.064	0.230	0.241	0.241

2-std larger share of foreign banks from country that changes rates  $\rightarrow$  probability of getting a loan drops by 13 percent and volume of loan drops by 8 percent

# **Further Results:**

- The effects are stronger for banks with low capital (in line with theory)
- The effects are weaker for banks with better access to direct foreign funding (through subsidiaries or introduction of central bank swap lines)
- The effects are stronger for USD, but are not limited to USD
- Results are robust to alternative interest rate differentials (e.g., based on interbank overnight rate and government bond yields)

#### IOER Differential and Violations of Covered Interest Parity

Dependent Variable:	3M B	asis (pp)	1Y Basis (pp)		5Y Basis (pp)		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
∆IOER Difference (pp)	0.098*	0.069*	0.151***	0.130***	0.125**	0.103***	
	(1.91)	(1.69)	(4.11)	(5.52)	(2.57)	(3.17)	
Fixed Effects							
Currency Pair $(D_{fd})$		Yes		Yes		Yes	
Observations	312	312	312	312	312	312	
R-squared	0.012	0.589	0.052	0.745	0.021	0.714	

• Not formalized in model, but consistent with idea that monetary policy changes trigger large cross-currency movements that put pressure on FX swap markets

# Final remarks:

- We provide a new insight into the operation of the international credit channel
  - Earlier view: Global banks' lending is defined solely by diversification
  - <u>Our view</u>: There are currency effects (due to liquidity management and due to use of internal capital markets) impact the relative attractiveness of lending abroad
- With increasing cost of FX swaps and capital constraints, cross-border implications of monetary policy go in the opposite direction